



An Introduction to the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research

"CBER 101"

CLINICAL TRIAL DESIGN

March 25, 2003

Ilan Irony, M.D.

Division of Clinical Trials Design and Analysis
Office of Therapeutics Research and Review
CBER/FDA

Clinical Trial Design

- ◆ Code of Federal Regulations
- ◆ ICH E6 (Good Clinical Practice)
- ◆ ICH E8 (General Considerations for Clinical trials)

Appropriate Trial Design

- ◆ Answer relevant scientific question
- ◆ Protection of trial subjects
- ◆ Part of an overall development plan
- ◆ Objectives appropriate for the Phase of Development

Appropriate Trial Design

- ◆ Goal of successful product development:
 - Lead to licensing of new products or new indications for marketed products
 - 21 CFR 601: product must meet safety, purity and **potency**
 - CBER interprets potency as effectiveness shown in adequate and well-controlled studies (F D & C Act, 1962 and 21 CFR 314.126).

Appropriate Trial Design

- ◆ Think international !
 - International Conference on Harmonisation
 - Multinational studies in rare diseases or when large sample sizes are required
 - Uniform format for US, Japan and EU regulatory review

Phases of Development

◆ Phase I

- “Pilot” study
- Supported by non clinical pharmacokinetic and toxicity data
- Objective:
 - Emphasis on safety and frequent AE's
 - Study pharmacology (PK, PD)
- Small sample size
 - Some studies can include healthy volunteers (risks vs. benefits)

Phases of Development

◆ Phase II

- “Exploratory” study
- Dose ranging, schedule and route of administration studies in the target population
- More strict eligibility criteria acceptable (sometimes preferable)
- Design supported by data from Phase I and pre-clinical information

Phases of Development

◆ Phase III

- Study Design based on Phase 2
- End of Phase 2 meeting with FDA
- “Confirmatory” Study
- Fewer doses or dosing regimens
- Controlled study or studies

Phases of development

◆ Phase IV

- Post approval
- Long term safety, rare AE's
- "Real world" experience
- Expand product use to other sub groups of the intended population

Investigator Brochure

- ◆ Required unless single center sponsor-investigator
- ◆ Briefly describe:
 - Drug substance & formulation
 - Summary animal & human tox., PK/PD
 - Summary of safety and effectiveness in humans from prior studies
 - Anticipated risks and side effects, special precautions and monitoring
- ◆ Update as new information available

Components of a Protocol

- ◆ Rationale
- ◆ Hypothesis
- ◆ Background information
- ◆ Design
- ◆ Treatment Groups / Regimen
- ◆ Eligibility
- ◆ Patient Monitoring and Procedures
- ◆ Endpoints and Statistical Plan

Issues in Study Design

- ◆ Study Blind
 - Open label, single-blind, double-blind
- ◆ Treatment groups
- ◆ Choice of control group (ICH E10)
 - Parallel, sequential, crossover
- ◆ Randomization
 - Fixed, simple, block, stratified, adaptive, none

Safety Assessment

- ◆ Safety monitoring essential in all phases
- ◆ Safety:
 - Active collection of adverse events
 - Clinical laboratory, imaging, ancillary
 - Immunogenicity
 - Stopping rules
 - e.g.: Common Toxicity Criteria NCI
 - Independent DSMB

Safety Reporting

- ◆ Expedited Safety reporting:
 - Written ("IND Safety Report") < 15 days
 - Any **serious and unexpected** AE
 - Any pre-clinical findings suggestive of significant risks to human subjects (includes mutagen., teratogen., carcinogenicity)
 - Phone/fax reports < 7 days:
 - Fatal or life-threatening event associated with the product
- ◆ Summary of safety in Annual reports₁₄

Study Endpoints

- ◆ Well and prospectively defined
- ◆ Best to demonstrate efficacy
- ◆ Best to demonstrate clinical relevance
- ◆ Time to event vs. ascertainment rates of success at specific time points
- ◆ Feasibility

Statistical Analyses

- ◆ Group to include (ITT preferable)
- ◆ Appropriate for endpoints selected
- ◆ Primary vs. exploratory analysis
- ◆ Prospective vs. post-hoc analysis
- ◆ P value (two tailed) and CI
- ◆ How to deal with missing data and protocol deviations
- ◆ Sub group analyses

Study Monitoring

- ◆ Adequate mechanisms to monitor and audit study conduct to achieve interpretable data.
- ◆ Performed by the sponsor or designated qualified monitors (e.g. CRO)
- ◆ “No study is better than the quality of its data” *

*Fundamentals of Clinical Trials, Friedman, et al.

Code of Federal Regulations

◆ 21 CFR

- 50 - Protection of Human Subjects
- 56 - Institutional Review Boards
- 312 - INDs
- 601 - Biologics Licensing
- (314 – Rare NDA's in CBER, but interpretation of evidence of efficacy)